

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,198		02/04/2004	John Meurig Thomas	CH-8055/LeA 36,599	4239	
34947	7590	05/24/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LANXESS CORPORATION 111 RIDC PARK WEST DRIVE				PASTERCZY	PASTERCZYK, JAMES W	
	PITTSBURGH, PA 15275-1112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1755	_ -	
				DATE MAIL ED. 06/24/200	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/772,198	THOMAS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	J. Pasterczyk	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statudary reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to divide a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this comi ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow	is action is non-final.	rosecution as to the n	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a decision of the drawing sheet and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the sheet of the sheet and the sheet of the sheet are the sheet and the sheet are the sheet and the sheet are the sheet and the sheet are the sheet	ecepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica fority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National St	age
Attachment(s)	"D		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I B) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		52)

Application/Control Number: 10/772,198 Page 2

Art Unit: 1755

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-10 and 12, drawn to supported transition metal compounds, classified in class 502, subclass various depending on the nature of the compound.
- II. Claims 11 and 13-20, drawn to processes for making various organic compounds, classified in class various depending on the compound made, subclass various depending on the method of making the compound.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h) and *Studiengesellschafte Kohle mbH v. Northern Petrochemical Co.*, 228 USPQ 837. In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, such as a homogeneous catalyst.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Claims 11 and 13-20 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: processes of making various chiral organic compounds via hydrogenation of C=N, C=O, and C=C bonds. The species are independent or distinct because the compounds made have different functional groups and thus are differently classified. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, i.e. a single specific compound made using the catalyst of group I, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this

requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 5. A telephone call was made to Diderico van Eyl, Esq., on 5/19/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Pasterczyk whose telephone number is 571-272-1375. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo, can be reached at 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,198

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. Pasterczyk

AU 1755

5/21/06

DAVID BRUNSMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1100 Page 4